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#### Abstract

We study the three-dimensional isotropic harmonic oscillator confined within spherical impenetrable walls. The potential in the box is of the harmonic oscillator type but outside the box the potential is infinite. The energy eigenvalues and some position expectation values are computed with great accuracy for different box sizes; our results are more accurate than previous calculations. By using the bounded harmonic oscillator wavefunctions as a basis set we obtain with the variational method the energy eigenvalues of a confined hydrogen atom.


## 1. Introduction

It is well known that very simple quantum systems such as the hydrogen atom and the threedimensional isotropic harmonic oscillator have analytical solutions. However, when these quantum systems are confined in spherical boxes with impenetrable walls, the solutions of the Schrödinger equation are not trivial and the energy eigenvalues have not been determined analytically.

The study of bounded quantum systems is interesting due to the existence of many problems in different fields of physics that can be considered as bounded quantum systems, and is interesting as a method to compute the energy eigenvalues of free quantum systems when the analytical solution is unknown.

Some models of bounded quantum systems have been developed to study atoms and molecules under high pressures [1-13], near-surface donor states [14], impurity binding energies in quantum wells $[15,16]$, the confinement of electron holes in microcrystals [1721], nuclear deformations [22], superconductivity [23] and others [12].

In particular the study of the bounded harmonic oscillators is interesting because they were used in the study of the fundamental mass-radius relation in the white dwarf theory [24], the specific heat of solids [25] and the magnetic properties of a system of electrons within a cylinder [26].

On the other hand, the bounded quantum systems are used to obtain the energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of free quantum systems as, for example, the onedimensional anharmonic oscillator [27-29], the Morse oscillator [30], the Mitra potential [31] and the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ inversion spectra [32,33].

Until now investigation has been mainly devoted to the study the one- and twodimensional bounded harmonic oscillators [24-26,34-37]. A study of a three-dimensional isotropic bounded harmonic oscillators was done independently by Marin and Cruz [38] and by Fernández and Castro [39]. Marin and Cruz [38] used the direct variational method, choosing as a trial function the product of the wavefunction of a free harmonic oscillator times a function, $\chi$, such that $\chi\left(r_{0}\right)=0$, where $r_{0}$ is the box radius. The method
developed by Fernández and Castro [39] is a combination of the hypervirial theorems and the perturbation theory; the results obtained by this method were more accurate than those obtained by Marin and Cruz [38]. However, when the radius of the box increases, the energy eigenvalues produced by this method become less accurate. In this work we compute the energy eigenvalues and some position expectation values with great accuracy for the radii of several boxes.
The present paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the general method for one-dimensional potentials and in section 3 we develop the particular calculations for the three-dimensional isotropic harmonic oscillators. In section 4 we compute $\left\langle r^{-2}\right\rangle,\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle r^{4}\right\rangle$. Section 5 is devoted to compute the energy eigenvalues for the 1 s and some excited states for the confined hydrogen atom diagonalizing its Hamiltonian in the bounded oscillator wavefunctions. Finally, in section 5 the conclusions of this work are given.

## 2. The method

The method was first introduced by Campoy and Palma [27,28] to solve free quantum systems, while Aquino [9] showed that the same method can be used for the study of bounded hydrogen atoms.

We begin with the time-independent Schrödinger equation for an arbitrary onedimensional potential $V(x)$, which in atomic units can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime \prime}=2[V(x)-\epsilon] \psi \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ represents the energy eigenvalue. One of the main features of the method is the assumption that $\psi$ must be a function of both position and energy, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\psi(x, \epsilon) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivative of equation (1) with respect to the energy is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \psi^{\prime \prime} / \partial \epsilon=2[V(x)-\epsilon](\partial \psi / \partial \epsilon)-2 \psi \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To confine the system we introduce an impenetrable barrier at point $x_{0}$, and therefore the function $\psi$ must vanish at this point for the exact energy only, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(x_{0}, \epsilon_{\text {exact }}\right) \equiv 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem of finding the energy eigenvalues of equation (3) is then reduced to finding the zeros of the function $\psi$ at $x_{0}$. One procedure is as follows. Given an initial approximation $\epsilon_{i}$, equations (1) and (3) are solved numerically for $\psi$ and $\partial \psi / \partial \epsilon$, respectively, to obtain $\psi\left(x_{0}, \epsilon_{i}\right)$ and $\partial \psi\left(x_{0}, \epsilon_{i}\right) / \partial \epsilon$. A correction for the energy is then computed through the Newton-Raphson method [40-42],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{i+1}=\epsilon_{i}-\psi\left(x_{0}, \epsilon_{i}\right) /\left[\partial \psi\left(x_{0}, \epsilon_{i}\right) / \partial \epsilon\right] . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this new value of the energy we iterate equations (1) and (3) until we obtain the eigenvalue $\epsilon_{f}$ with the desired accuracy.

## 3. Energy eigenvalues of the isotropic bounded oscillators

The Hamiltonian for the three-dimensional isotropic bounded harmonic oscillator (IBHO), in atomic units, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2}+r^{2} / 2+V^{\prime}(r) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
V^{\prime}(r)= \begin{cases}+\infty & r>r_{0}  \tag{7}\\ 0, & r \leqslant r_{0}\end{cases}
$$

$r_{0}$ is the radius of the box in units of $(\hbar / m \omega)^{1 / 2}$. Since the problem is separable we solve the radial equation only.
The method described in section 2, was originally developed for polynomial potentials. However, we can use it for the IBHOs if we write the corresponding Schrödinger equation as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}=-2 r \psi^{\prime}+l(l+1) \psi+r^{4} \psi-2 \epsilon r^{2} \psi \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l$ is the angular momentum of the particle.
The wavefunction, $\psi$, is now developed through a Taylor series expansion around the point $r=a$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(r)=\sum_{k} T_{k}(a, r) \tag{9a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k}(a, r)=\left(\psi^{(k)}(a) / k!\right)(r-a)^{k} \tag{9b}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be evaluated in a very simple way. The $p$ th derivative of equation (8) relative to $r$, becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[r^{2} \psi^{(2)}\right]^{(p)}=-2\left[r \psi^{(1)}\right]^{(p)}+l(l+1) \psi^{(p)}-\left[r^{4} \psi\right]^{(p)}-2 \epsilon\left[r^{2} \psi\right]^{(p)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the superscript quantities in parentheses represent derivative orders. Now using the well known formula for the derivative of a product, together with equations ( $9 a, b$ ), and evaluating in $a=0$, we finally obtain
$T_{p+2}=r^{2}\left[r^{2} T_{p-2}-2 \epsilon T_{p}\right] /[(p+2)(p+3)-l(l+1)] \quad$ with $p \geqslant 0$.
Hence the wavefunction can be calculated directly using (9a). From (9a) the following expression is also obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \psi / \partial \epsilon=\sum_{m} \partial T_{m} / \partial \epsilon=\sum_{p} \dot{T}_{p} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{T}_{p}$ denotes the derivative of $T_{p}$ relative to the energy, obtained from equation (11), i.e.
$\dot{T}_{p+2}=r^{2}\left[r^{2} \dot{T}_{p-2}-2 \epsilon \dot{T}_{p}-2 T_{p}\right] /[(p+2)(p+3)-l(l+1)] \quad$ with $p \geqslant 0$
where $T_{p}$ and $\dot{T}_{p}$ are zero for $p<0$.
We choose $a=0$ because, we know the value of wavefunctions at this point. To compute $\psi\left(r_{0}\right)$ and $\partial \psi\left(r_{0}\right) / \partial \epsilon$ simply we evaluate equations (9a) and (12) together with equations (11) and (13) in $r=r_{0}$. From the relations (11) and (13), we can build any eigenstate, using the initial conditions: for $l=0$ (the s-states), we let $\psi_{n}(0)=1$ (or $T_{0}=1$ ), and the value of the first derivative $\psi_{n}^{\prime}(0)=0$ (or $T_{1}=0$ ), then we obtain $T_{2}=-\epsilon r_{0}^{2} / 3$ from (11); for $l=1$ (the p-states), we let $\psi_{n}(0)=0$ and $\psi_{n}^{\prime}(0)=1$; and for $l=2$ (the d-states), we let $\psi_{n}(0)=0, \psi_{n}^{\prime}(0)=0, \psi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(0)=1$.
Once the energy eigenvalue, $\epsilon_{n}$, has been obtained, we can compute the expansion coefficients for the wavefunction by substituting the values of $\epsilon_{n}$ into equation (11) and evaluating $T_{p}$ at $r=1$. Thus, we have the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}(r)=\sum_{m} T_{m}\left(r=1, \epsilon_{n}\right) r^{m} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 1. Energy eigenvalues $\epsilon\left(r_{0}\right)$ for the three-dimensional bounded harmonic oscillator as a function of the radius of the box $\left(r_{0}\right)$. The energies are in units of $\hbar \omega$ and the radii is in units of $(\hbar / m \omega)^{1 / 2}$.

| $r_{0}$ | $\epsilon^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\epsilon^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\epsilon^{\mathrm{c}}$ | Present |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ground state $n=0, l=0$ |  |  |  |  |
| 0.5 |  | 19.774534 |  | 19.7745341792 |
| 1.0 | 5.1313 | 5.0755820 | 5.0755 | 5.0755820152 |
| $\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ |  | 3.5000000 |  | 3.5000000000 |
| 1.5 | 2.5265 | 2.5049761 | 2.5050 | 2.5049761785 |
| 2.0 | 1.7739 | 1.7648087 | 1.7648 | 1.7648164387 |
| 2.5 | 1.5567 | 1.55166 | 1.5514 | 1.5514216545 |
| 3.0 | 1.5105 |  | 1.5061 | 1.5060815272 |
| 4.0 | 1.5033 |  | 1.5000 | 1.5000146030 |
| 5.0 | 1.5025 |  | 1.5000 | 1.5000000036 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| First excited state $n=0, l=1$ |  |  | 10.2822 | 10.2822569390 |
| 1.0 | 10.3188 |  | 4.9036 | 4.9035904194 |
| 1.5 | 4.9169 |  | 3.2469 | 3.2469470987 |
| 2.0 | 3.2514 |  | 2.6881 | 2.6881439638 |
| 2.5 | 2.6901 |  | 2.5313 | 2.5312924666 |
| 3.0 | 2.5337 |  | 2.5001 | 2.5001437781 |
| 4.0 | 2.5015 |  | 2.5000 | 2.5000000584 |
| 5.0 | 2.5012 |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Using the method described in [38]
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Using the method described in [39]
c These values were computed in [38] using the method developed by Ley-Koo and Rubinstein [3]

A major advantage of this method is that the computation of the energy eigenvalues is very accurate and fast. Table 1 shows the energy eigenvalues $\epsilon_{n}$ for the ground state and the first excited state with nine figures of precision for each value of the (impenetrable) wall distance $r_{0}$.
In table 1 we compare the present calculations with those obtained by Marin and Cruz [38] and by Fernández and Castro [39] with different methods. Fernández and Castro [39] calculated the energy eigenvalues only for the ground state, whereas Marin and Cruz [38] calculated the first excited state also. The results obtained by Fernández and Castro [39] are accurate only for small values of $r_{0}$, whereas they become less precise for values of $r_{0}$ higher than 2.0 units in length. The results of Marin and Cruz [38] are less precise than those obtained by Fernández and Castro [39], but the method is very simple and can be employed to compute the energy eigenvalues for higher excited states. In the fourth column of table 1 the calculations are done using the method developed by Ley-Koo and Rubinstein [3]. Although the method of Ley-Koo and Rubinstein can provide the energy eigenvalues with any desired accuracy, the computational task is much more intricate than the method proposed here.
As we mentioned before, with this method we can also compute the excited states. The results of this computation are shown in table 2 , where the energy eigenvalues were determined for different values of $n$ and $l$ as functions of the radius of the box $r_{0}$.

It is well known [43-45] that the three-dimensional free isotropic harmonic oscillator (FIHO) is degenerate, its energy is given by $E_{N}=\left(N+\frac{3}{2}\right)$, where $N=2 n+l$. When we confine this system within of an impenetrable box, this degeneracy is broken; for this reason

Table 2. Energy eigenvalues $\epsilon_{n l}\left(r_{0}\right)$ of the three-dimensional bounded harmonic oscillator for different values of $n$ and $l$ as function of the radius $r_{0}$.

| $r_{0}$ | $\epsilon_{00}\left(r_{0}\right)$ | $\epsilon_{01}\left(r_{0}\right)$ | $\epsilon_{02}\left(r_{0}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.5 | 19.7745341792 | 40.4282764970 | 66.4897565349 |
| 1.0 | 5.0755820152 | 10.2822569390 | 16.8277771098 |
| 1.5 | 2.5049761785 | 4.9035904194 | 7.8717304877 |
| 2.0 | 1.7648164387 | 3.2469470987 | 5.0100408656 |
| 2.5 | 1.5514216545 | 2.6881439638 | 3.9535289034 |
| 3.0 | 1.5060815272 | 2.5312924666 | 3.5982476989 |
| 3.5 | 1.5003995211 | 2.5029101642 | 3.5125803181 |
| 4.0 | 1.5000146030 | 2.5001437781 | 3.5008420738 |
| 4.5 | 1.5000003041 | 2.5000038701 | 3.5000294123 |
| 5.0 | 1.5000000036 | 2.5000000584 | 3.5000005567 |
| 5.5 | 1.5000000002 | 2.5000000005 | 3.5000000058 |
| 6.0 | 1.5000000000 | 2.5000000000 | 3.5000000003 |
| $r_{0}=\infty^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1.5000000000 | 2.5000000000 | 3.500000000 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $r_{0}$ | $\epsilon_{10}\left(r_{0}\right)$ | $\epsilon_{11}\left(r_{0}\right)$ | $\epsilon_{20}\left(r_{0}\right)$ |
| 0.5 | 78.9969211507 | 119.4024452520 | 177.6938438082 |
| 1.0 | 19.8996965018 | 30.0134875924 | 44.5771712285 |
| 1.5 | 9.1354220876 | 13.6537408930 | 20.1090029728 |
| 2.0 | 5.5846390790 | 8.1595288816 | 11.7649821223 |
| 2.5 | 4.1842613183 | 5.8767677360 | 8.1534369588 |
| 3.0 | 3.6642196450 | 4.9138976907 | 6.4733366162 |
| 3.5 | 3.5233023363 | 4.5807989270 | 5.7586921635 |
| 4.0 | 3.5016915385 | 4.5083304308 | 5.5394217970 |
| 4.5 | 3.5000623121 | 4.5004174712 | 5.5028722559 |
| 5.0 | 3.5000012214 | 4.5000105730 | 5.5000987178 |
| 5.5 | 3.5000000132 | 4.5000001425 | 5.5000017124 |
| 6.0 | 3.5000000000 | 4.5000000008 | 5.5000000134 |
| $r_{0}=\infty^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 3.5000000000 | 4.5000000000 | 5.500000000 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ These values correspond to the three-dimensional free isotropic harmonic oscillator computed by the analytical formulae of [43-45].
it is convenient to label the energy $E_{n l}\left(r_{0}\right)$. We can confirm this statement by looking at the states $E_{02}\left(r_{0}\right)$ and $E_{10}\left(r_{0}\right)$ in table 2 . For small values of $r_{0}$ the spectrum is non-degenerate, whereas for large values of $r_{0}$ the spectrum is practically degenerate. This behaviour is more evident if we observe figure 1, on its left side it shows the energy levels of the spherical well and on its right side the energy levels of FIHO. The energy levels of BIHO are between the energy spectrum of the well and the FIHO. The continuous lines show how the energy levels of BIHO vary between the energy levels of the well and the FIHO as a function of $r_{0}$. When the size of the spherical box $\left(r_{0}\right)$ diminishes, the energy levels of BIHO leave to be degenerate and their numerical values increase. An unusual fact occurs with levels 1 h and 3 s when $r_{0}$ decreases. For some distance $r_{0} *$, there exists a crossing point of these two levels, and for smaller values than $r_{0} *$, the order of these two levels is inverted. An analogous behaviour is shown for levels 3 p and 1i. We must note that the order of the spectrum and the energy splitting between levels are functions of the radius of the impenetrable box $\left(r_{0}\right)$. An estimation of the non-degeneracy is given, for example, by the splitting $\Delta E=E_{10}-E_{02}$. In figure $2, \Delta E$ is plotted as function of $r_{0}$. In this figure we can easily see the above remarks.


Spherical well

## FIHO

Figure 1. This diagram shows the correspondence of the energy eigenvalues of the BIHO with the spherical well and the FIHO. For small $r_{0}$ the energy eigenvalues of the BIHO are very near to the spherical well, for large $r_{0}$ the energy eigenvalues are practically the FIHO. It also shows the crossing of some energy levels.

The non-degeneracy of the spectrum for small values of $r_{0}$ can be understood as follows. For small values of $r_{0}$ we may think of this problem as a particle moving within a spherical box with impenetrable walls (whose spectrum is non-degenerate [43-45]) plus a harmonic oscillator's perturbation $r_{0}^{2}$, so that the complete problem is non-degenerate. When $r_{0}$ is large the problem may be seen as the FIHO perturbed by a box with impenetrable walls, thus the spectrum will be very near to the degenerate one, as expected.

## 4. The position expectation values

The wavefunction obtained by this procedure is not normalized; this fact is not important for computing the position expectation values because, as Palma remarks [27, 28], these quantities can be computed easily (without the use of the wavefunction) by using the Hellmann-Feynman theorem. We have used this approach in a previous work to compute some position expectation values for the hydrogen atom confined by a box of impenetrable walls [9,27]. In the present work we use the direct integration of wavefunctions. The expectation value for $r^{k}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle r^{k}\right\rangle=\frac{\int_{0}^{r_{0}} \psi^{2} r^{k} r^{2} \mathrm{~d} r}{\int_{0}^{r_{0}} \psi^{2} r^{2} \mathrm{~d} r} \quad \text { with } k=\text { integer } \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integration was done using an extended Simpson's rule [40-42,46], with this method we obtained a precision of six figures for $\left\langle r^{-2}\right\rangle,\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle r^{4}\right\rangle$; the results of these calculations


Figure 2. The splitting energy $\Delta E\left(=E_{10}-E_{02}\right)$ as function $r_{0}$. For small values of $r_{0}$ there is not degeneration between these two levels, but when the radius $r_{0}$ increases, they approach the degeneration.
are shown in table 3. The behaviour of the expectation values is very similar to that of the energy eigenvalues; they vary monotonically to the values of the FIHO when the radius of the box increases. Interestingly enough, there are no position expectation values reported in previous works [38,39]. Once we have the energy eigenvalues we can compute the wavefunction $\psi$ (equation (14)) and we can compute $\left\langle r^{k}\right\rangle$ in an analytical way. However, in this work we have computed numerical values of the integrals, because, in the program used to compute the energy eigenvalues, the wavefunctions are obtained at the same time, and they were used immediately to compute the integrals, saving computer time.

As we mentioned before, we can compute the energy and eigenfunctions through equations (11) and (13), giving initial conditions for the wavefunction and some of its derivatives at the origin $r=0$, together with the boundary condition at $r=r_{0}$. The nodes of the wavefunction are also automatically determined by these conditions. We do not need to make any changes to the analytic form of the function equation (11) to compute any state, as is done in other methods [47,48]. The position of the nodes depends on the size of the impenetrable box; when the size of the box increases, the nodes of IBHO approach the position of the nodes of FIHO, and in the limit $r \rightarrow \infty$ they are identical. In practice we may consider that they are equal when the size of the box $r_{0}$ satisfies $r_{0} \geqslant 6 \mathrm{au}$. In figure 3 six different states are plotted as functions of $r_{0}$, where we can observe the position shift of nodes. The wavefunctions plotted have not been normalized to unity. The states

Table 3. Position expectation values $\left\langle r^{-2}\right\rangle,\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle r^{4}\right\rangle$ as a function of the radius of the box $\left(r_{0}\right)$.

| $r_{0}$ | $\left\langle r^{-2}\right\rangle$ | $\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle$ | $\left\langle r^{4}\right\rangle$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n=0, l=0$ |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 35.6703626921 | $7.0633325319 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $7.1240518727 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| 1.0 | 9.0241006941 | 0.2804491919 | 0.1125979005 |
| 2.0 | 2.6958517746 | 0.9969257335 | 1.4783049734 |
| 3.0 | 2.0244173259 | 1.4618641057 | 3.4785513901 |
| 4.0 | 2.0000776828 | 1.4998046846 | 3.7479140456 |
| 5.0 | 2.0000000216 | 1.4999999191 | 3.7499987701 |
| 5.5 | 2.0000000007 | 1.4999999996 | 3.7499999994 |
| $r_{0}=\infty^{\text {a }}$ | 2.0000000000 | 1.5000000000 | 3.7500000000 |
| $n=0, l=1$ |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 15.6045790517 | $9.3625935728 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $1.0871505434 \mathrm{E}-2$ |
| 1.0 | 3.9247112835 | 0.3729644642 | 0.1727284387 |
| 2.0 | 1.0801733970 | 1.3944839053 | 2.4617968195 |
| 3.0 | 0.6947107566 | 2.3374249913 | 7.3776557684 |
| 4.0 | 0.6668569583 | 2.4982360443 | 8.7286620820 |
| 5.0 | 0.6666667627 | 2.4999987516 | 8.7499792031 |
| 5.5 | 0.6666666675 | 2.4999999866 | 8.7499997431 |
| $r_{0}=\infty^{\text {a }}$ | 0.6666666667 | 2.5000000000 | 8.7500000000 |
| $n=1, l=0$ |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 75.0099270868 | $8.0176719300 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $1.0977905107 \mathrm{E}-2$ |
| 1.0 | 18.7738038158 | 0.3212800381 | 0.1758546450 |
| 2.0 | 4.7740234899 | 1.3136755498 | 2.8376786605 |
| 3.0 | 2.3631605071 | 2.8484973047 | 12.3605291432 |
| 4.0 | 2.0061556647 | 3.4816007196 | 18.5015111189 |
| 5.0 | 2.0000058010 | 3.4999753635 | 18.74955538 |
| 6.0 | 2.0000000 | 3.500000000 | 18.75000000 |
| $r_{0}=\infty^{\text {a }}$ | 2.0000000 | 3.500000000 | 18.75000000 |
| $n=2, l=0$ |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 114.4593926675 | $8.1932300984 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $1.1809302000 \mathrm{E}-2$ |
| 1.0 | 28.6235155711 | 0.3281011648 | 0.1891976805 |
| 2.0 | 7.1867091622 | 1.3356905995 | 3.0879809920 |
| 3.0 | 3.2367117630 | 3.1784975904 | 16.4114516391 |
| 4.0 | 2.0952211738 | 5.1872651591 | 40.6594214306 |
| 5.0 | 2.0003673908 | 5.4982607986 | 45.7134917561 |
| 6.0 | 2.00000006 | 5.4999996086 | 45.7499895219 |
| $r_{0}=\infty^{\text {a }}$ | 2.00000000 | 5.5000000000 | 45.7500000000 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ These values correspond to the three-dimensional free isotropic harmonic oscillator computed by the analytical formulae of [45].
are labelled with the quantum numbers $n$ and $l$, thus the state 10 means the function $\psi_{10}$, etc. The wavefunctions are compressed to the inner of the spherical box, but the symmetry of the wavefunctions and the number of nodes are unaltered.

## 5. The confined hydrogen atom in terms of BIHO states

For several years the FIHO basis set has been used to solve a great variety of problems of more complexity [49] such as, hydrogen atoms, hydrogen molecules, helium atoms, etc. An

Table 3. (Continued)

| $r_{0}$ | $\left\langle^{-2}\right\rangle$ | $\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle$ | $\left\langle r^{4}\right\rangle$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $n=1, l=1$ |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 28.8493991689 | $8.6829286126 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $1.1991627564 \mathrm{E}-2$ |
| 1.0 | 7.2212001399 | 0.3476213689 | 0.1920613526 |
| 2.0 | 1.8386097252 | 1.4086221564 | 3.1137263025 |
| 3.0 | 0.8803218876 | 3.2006897343 | 15.2573570373 |
| 4.0 | 0.6744177243 | 4.4205930777 | 28.5673426176 |
| 5.0 | 0.666805327 | 4.4997992081 | 29.7460739542 |
| 6.0 | 0.666666678 | 4.4999999758 | 29.7499993858 |
| $r_{0}=\infty^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.6666666667 | 4.5000000000 | 29.7500000000 |
| $n=0, l=2$ |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 11.4712803274 | 0.1096559301 | $1.3981575372 \mathrm{E}-2$ |
| 1.0 | 2.8781443188 | 0.4374847350 | 0.2226972275 |
| 2.0 | 0.7628914153 | 1.6764563054 | 3.3065027796 |
| 3.0 | 0.4383009920 | 3.0190423538 | 11.6573115440 |
| 4.0 | 0.4005308571 | 3.4906181724 | 15.6233632297 |
| 5.0 | 0.4000004600 | 3.4999886907 | 15.7497952218 |
| 6.0 | 0.4000000002 | 3.4999999920 | 15.7499998331 |
| $r_{0}=\infty^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.4000000000 | 3.5000000000 | 15.7500000000 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ These values correspond to the three-dimensional free isotropic harmonic oscillator computed by the analytical formulae of [45].
important question is the following. Is the IBHO basis set as good for complex bounded problems as the FIHO for free problems? We are going to give a partial answer by means of an example.

Some years ago Moshinsky [49] calculated the ground-state energy for the free hydrogen atom using a basis set of free harmonic oscillator states. In this section we are going to show that using this simple idea it is possible to do a similar calculation for the confined hydrogen atom in spherical impenetrable walls using the basis set of BIHO states.

In non-relativistic quantum mechanics we may write the Hamiltonian for the bounded hydrogen atom as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\prime}=\frac{p^{\prime 2}}{2 m}+V\left(r^{\prime}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
V\left(r^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}-e^{2} / r^{\prime} & \text { if } r<r_{0} \\ +\infty & \text { if } r \geqslant r_{0}\end{cases}
$$

$r_{0}$ is the spherical impenetrable box radius. It is convenient to transform equation (16) adding and subtracting the harmonic oscillator term of frequency $w$ for $r<r_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2 m} p^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{2} m w^{2} r^{\prime 2}-e^{2} / r^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} m w^{2} r^{\prime 2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making the change of variable $r^{\prime}=a r$, where $a=\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m w}}$, and the energy equivalence $\hbar w=\frac{m e^{4}}{\hbar^{2}}$, the Hamiltonian equation (17) is now

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\prime}=\hbar w H=\frac{m e^{4}}{\hbar^{2}} H \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

## IBHO WAVE FUNCTIONS








Figure 3. We plot the radial wavefunctions for the ground state and the first five excited states, as function of the box radius $r_{0}$. The wavefunctions plotted have not been normalized to unity. When the radius, $r_{0}$, is small the wavefunctions are compressed, but when $r_{0}$ increases they are almost the same that the free wavefunctions. The number of nodes of each wavefunction is the same for every value of $r_{0}$.
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=H_{b h o}-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2} r^{2} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $H_{b h o}$ is the Hamiltonian of the bounded isotropic harmonic oscillator (BIHO) equation (6). The eigenstates $\psi$ of equation (19) have definite $l, m$ thus we may expand them in terms of the BIHO states $\psi_{n l m}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\sum_{n}|n l m\rangle \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the energy eigenvalues of (19) it is sufficient to diagonalize \| $\left.\left\langle n^{\prime} l m\right| H|n| m\right\rangle \|$

Table 4. Energy eigenvalues $E_{n l}$ (in atomic units) for confined hydrogen atom as function of the number of quanta $N$, for $r_{0}=2,4,5$ Bohr.

| $N$ | $E_{00}$ | $E_{10}$ | $E_{20}$ | $E_{30}$ | $E_{40}$ | $E_{50}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $r_{0}=2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | -0.0611 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | -0.0839 | 3.4057 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | -0.1087 | 3.3776 | 9.4056 |  |  |  |
| 4 | -0.1159 | 3.3486 | 9.3708 | 17.9153 |  |  |
| 5 | -0.1199 | 3.3400 | 9.3398 | 17.8766 | 28.9173 |  |
| 6 | -0.1217 | 3.3349 | 9.3300 | 17.8450 | 28.8763 | 42.4034 |
| 7 | -0.1228 | 3.3325 | 9.3240 | 17.8345 | 28.8448 | 42.3612 |
| Exact | -0.1250 | 3.3275 | 9.3142 | 17.8161 | 28.8135 | 42.2967 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $r_{0}=4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | -0.3783 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | -0.3970 | 0.8374 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | -0.4642 | 0.6220 | 2.3658 |  |  |  |
| 4 | -0.4642 | 0.4455 | 2.0791 | 4.3978 |  |  |
| 5 | -0.4732 | 0.4404 | 1.8981 | 4.1417 | 7.0545 |  |
| 6 | -0.4751 | 0.4277 | 1.8957 | 3.9913 | 6.8500 | 10.3535 |
| 7 | -0.4776 | 0.4270 | 1.8823 | 3.9908 | 6.7159 | 10.1843 |
| Exact | -0.4833 | 0.4202 | 1.8727 | 3.9665 | 6.6907 | 10.0406 |
| $r_{0}=5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | -0.3784 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | -0.3974 | 0.8287 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | -0.4694 | 0.5778 | 2.2370 |  |  |  |
| 4 | -0.4705 | 0.2957 | 1.7409 | 3.7837 |  |  |
| 5 | -0.4857 | 0.2231 | 1.2669 | 3.1064 | 5.4940 |  |
| 6 | -0.4858 | 0.1579 | 1.1483 | 2.5655 | 4.7643 | 7.5027 |
| 7 | -0.4895 | 0.1523 | 1.0708 | 2.4625 | 4.2573 | 6.8101 |
| Exact | -0.4964 | 0.1413 | 1.0532 | 2.3823 | 4.1176 | 6.2548 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

for fixed $l, m$. The matrix elements are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle n^{\prime} l m\right| H|n l m\rangle=E_{b h o} \delta_{n^{\prime} n}-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle n^{\prime} l m\right| r^{2}|n l m\rangle-\left\langle n^{\prime} l m\right| 1 / r|n l m\rangle . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $E_{b h o}$ is the energy eigenvalue for the BIHO and some of these values are given in table 2; the calculation of the position expectation values was explained in section 4.

We are interested only in the spherical symmetric states, i.e. $1 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{~s}$, etc. For this reason we may fixed $l=m=0$, thus we need to calculate only the matrix $\left\|\left\langle n^{\prime} 00\right| H|n 00\rangle\right\|$. For up to $N$ quanta we need to diagonalize a $\left(\frac{1}{2} N+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} N+1\right)$ matrix, with $n=0,1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2} N$. The results of our calculation for $r_{0}=2,4,5$ bohrs are given in table 4 . In this table the ground state and few exited states are reported.

From table 4 we obtained good convergence for the ground state when the number of quanta was 12; the excited states also converge fast for small values of $r_{0}$. However, for $r_{0} \geqslant 5$ the ground state converges first, than the excited states, as in the case of the free hydrogen atom.

## 6. Conclusions

In a previous work the present method was successfully applied to the problem of a hydrogen atom confined by spherical impenetrable walls [9]. We use the same method to solve the IBHO for different radii of the boxes. We found the energy eigenvalues with a higher precision than previous works, and we also found the correct eigenfunctions, this last assertion is based on the fact that the energy eigenvalues and position expectation values approach the corresponding quantities of the free harmonic oscillator when $r_{0}$ increases.

The main advantage of the present method is the possibility of computing the energy eigenvalues, eigenfunctions and the position expectation values with high accuracy for ground and excited states, at a low computational cost.

The calculations resulting from this method could be taken as reference values for future comparisons.

For wavefunctions we can conclude that the total effect of the confinement by impenetrable walls, is a compression of the wave function to the inner of the impenetrable box, but the shape of the confined function is very similar to that of the free function, and the number of nodes of the confined function is the same than the free function. One point we must emphasize, not considered in previous works [38,39], is the following fact: the IBHO, unlike the FIHO, does not have degeneracy in energy, i.e. the impenetrable walls break only the degeneracy in energy, but the symmetry of the problem remains unaltered.

The study of bounded harmonic oscillators is interesting by itself, but it is more important, because it opens the opportunity to solve more complex systems using the IBHO basis set. As an example we solved the confined hydrogen atom.

In the variational calculation of a confined hydrogen atom we can see that the ground state and excited states converge almost at the same time, but when $r_{0}$ increases the ground state converges first as in the case of a free hydrogen atom. We conclude, at least for this problem, that the IBHO basis set is as good (for bounded systems) as the FIHO basis set (for free problems).

The method described in this work can be used in other one-dimensional potentials, such as the rotational Morse potential or polynomial potentials with several wells. At present we are working on these problems and future results will be published elsewhere.
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